

TABLE OF CONTENTS

National Training Center Environmental Impact Statement  
Fort Irwin Site

	<u>Page</u>
<u>Summary of Final Environmental Impact Statement</u>	
o Status . . . . .	1
o Name of Action . . . . .	1
o Type of Action . . . . .	1
o Contract for Inquiries . . . . .	1
o Final EIS Filing Date . . . . .	1
o Project Description . . . . .	3
o Environmental Impact . . . . .	3
o Alternatives Considered . . . . .	5
CHAPTER 1. PROJECT DESCRIPTION . . . . .	7
1.1 PURPOSE . . . . .	7
1.2 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION . . . . .	7
1.3 DESCRIPTION OF ENVIRONMENT AFFECTED . . . . .	13
CHAPTER 2. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING . . . . .	15
<u>NATURAL CONDITIONS</u>	
2.1 CLIMATE . . . . .	15
2.2 AIR QUALITY . . . . .	15
2.3 PHYSIOGRAPHY . . . . .	15
2.3.1 General . . . . .	15
2.3.2 Relief . . . . .	17
2.3.3 Slope . . . . .	17
2.4 HYDROLOGY . . . . .	17
2.4.1 Water Supply . . . . .	17
A. Surface Water Resources . . . . .	17
B. Subsurface Water Resources . . . . .	17
2.4.2 Water Use . . . . .	18
2.4.3 Water Quality . . . . .	18
2.4.4 Sewage Treatment and Disposal . . . . .	18

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
(3) Unemployment. . . . .	34
(4) Construction. . . . .	36
(5) Transportation/Public Utilities . . . . .	36
B. Barstow Area Economy . . . . .	36
(1) Population. . . . .	36
(2) Employment. . . . .	37
(3) Housing . . . . .	37
2.14 GOVERNMENT, INSTITUTIONAL, AND SOCIAL CONDITIONS OF BARSTOW. . . . .	37
2.14.1 Government. . . . .	37
2.14.2 Community Services. . . . .	37
A. Health Facilities. . . . .	37
B. Education. . . . .	38
C. Parks and Recreation . . . . .	38
D. Other Cultural Facilities and Facilities . . . . .	38
E. Public Safety. . . . .	38
F. Fire Protection. . . . .	38
G. Transportation . . . . .	39
H. Airport. . . . .	39
I. Barstow Proposed Redevelopment . . . . .	39
2.14.3 Public Finance. . . . .	39
2.14.4 Infrastructure - Water and Sewer Facilities. . . . .	40
2.15 AESTHETIC QUALITIES . . . . .	41
CHAPTER 3. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS . . . . .	42
3.1 INTRODUCTION. . . . .	42
 <u>THE RESERVATION</u>	
3.2 USE OF FIRE AND MANEUVER AREAS. . . . .	44
3.3 NATURAL CONDITIONS. . . . .	44
3.3.1 Soils . . . . .	46
3.3.2 Air Quality . . . . .	46
3.3.3 Vegetation. . . . .	48
3.3.4 Wildlife. . . . .	51
3.4 ARCHAEOLOGIC AND HISTORIC RESOURCES . . . . .	53
3.5 AESTHETIC QUALITY . . . . .	54
3.6 RADIO FREQUENCY INTERFERENCE. . . . .	54
3.7 ENERGY. . . . .	55

TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
5.3.1	National Training Center Alternative Site. . . . . 72
5.3.2	Marine Corps Base Twenty-Nine Palms, California. . . . . 75
5.3.3	Yuma Proving Ground . . . . . 78
5.3.4	Conclusions . . . . . 80
CHAPTER 6.	PROBABLE ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS WHICH CANNOT BE AVOIDED . . . . . 83
CHAPTER 7	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LOCAL SHORT-TERM USE OF MAN'S ENVIRONMENT AND THE MAINTENANCE AND ENHANCEMENT OF LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY. . . . . 86
CHAPTER 8.	IRREVERSIBLE AND IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS OF RESOURCES MADE . . . . . 87
CHAPTER 9.	NATIONAL DEFENSE CONSIDERATIONS THAT MUST BE BALANCED AGAINST THE ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF THE PROPOSED ACTION. . . . . 88
<u>BIBLIOGRAPHY.</u>	. . . . . 91
<u>COMMENTS AND RESPONSES</u>	
APPENDIX A.	ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING
APPENDIX B.	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED ACTION
APPENDIX C.	ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED ACTION
APPENDIX D.	PROPOSED PROGRAM SURVEY AND CLASSIFICATION OF CULTURAL RESOURCES - FORT IRWIN MILITARY RESERVATION

LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>Page</u>
Figure 1. Site Location. . . . .	9
Figure 2. Proposed Ranges and Maneuver Area. . . . .	14
Figure 3. Physiography and Hydrology . . . . .	16
Figure 4. Surficial Geology. . . . .	21
Figure 5. Plant Communities. . . . .	22
Figure 6. Archaeologic and Historic Resources. . . . .	27
Figure 7. Land Ownership and Infrastructure. . . . .	30
Figure 8. Ranges and Maneuver Areas. . . . .	31
Figure 9. Cantonment Area. . . . .	32
Figure 10. Region . . . . .	35
Figure 11. Moderate Use Area in South Tiefert Range .	45
Figure 12. Main Tank Trail Southeast of Cantonment. .	45
Figure 13. Mechanical Action of Tracked Vehicles on Soil . . . . .	47
Figure 14. Results of Increased Lateral Shear Stress.	47
Figure 15. Vegetation After Minimal Traverses by Tracked Vehicles. . . . .	50
Figure 16. Rockpile Range . . . . .	50
Figure 17. Trail Leading to North and East Ranges . .	51

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Demographic Summary of Proposed Action - 1984. . . . .	10
Table 2. Proposed Employment and Housing Requirements for Alternatives Which Utilize Fort Irwin . . . . .	71
Table 3. 1984 Impacts of National Training Center As Compared to Present Facility. . . . .	76